

COMMISSION ON TECHNOLOGY
Agenda Information/Action Item
Meeting Date: November 9, 2012

<i>Agenda Item:</i>	<i>Type of Action Requested:</i>
<u>INPUT TO NEXT STRATEGIC AGENDA FOR ARIZONA'S COURTS</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other

FROM:

Vice Chief Justice Scott Bales, COT Chair

SUMMARY:

As the term of Chief Justice Berch draws to a close, the timetable for an orderly succession is getting underway with the development of the next strategic business agenda for the courts. Staff has provided a rough outline for the development strategy and timeline of the 2015-2020 agenda. Input to the plan needs to be underway early next year, before COT's February meeting. To ensure technology is appropriately represented in the plan, the chair is requesting a COT ad hoc subteam generate initial ideas and eventually review the technology implications of the business directions being suggested in the resulting draft plan. Waiting until February to name a team will provide insufficient time for the initial work, since the draft timetable begins immediately following AJC's December 13 meeting.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Since technology performs an enabling role for the majority of business initiatives, COT must be well represented in the development effort. Use of a subteam has been employed successfully with previous strategic plan efforts. The draft agenda should be circulated beyond the ad hoc subteam to all members to review before it makes its way to AJC for approval.

ACTION OPTIONS:

MOTION – Form an ad hoc subcommittee and name members to provide input to and review the draft strategic agenda.

1. Approve the formation of an ad hoc subcommittee and name members to assist in development of the next strategic agenda for the courts.

2. Approve the formation of an ad hoc subcommittee to assist in development of the next strategic agenda for the courts but provide additional direction, as documented:

3. Disapprove the formation of an ad hoc subcommittee to assist in development of the next strategic agenda for the courts, instead using regular meeting time in February to develop input to the plan.
4. Disapprove the formation of an ad hoc subcommittee, waiting instead to review the draft at a regular meeting of the Commission.
5. Table the discussion of formation of an ad hoc subcommittee to assist in development of the next strategic agenda for the courts until a later time.